

11-18-03

3723  
750 RISING DRIVE  
HOUSTON, TX 77057-2198  
PHONE 713.787.1400  
FAX 713.787.1440  
A LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP  
#10 11/14/03



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:  
Michaud, Sroka, and Winkelmann

Serial No.: 10/071,533

Filed: February 7, 2002

For: CHEMICAL MECHANICAL MACHINING AND  
SURFACE FINISHING

Group Art Unit: 3723

Examiner:

Atty. Dkt. No.: 12350.0010.NPUS01

Confirmation No.: 1312

RECEIVED  
NOV 25 2003

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

EXPRESS MAIL MAILING LABEL	
TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700	
EV318621842US	
NUMBER	11-17-03
DATE OF DEPOSIT	
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "EXPRESS MAIL POST OFFICE TO ADDRESSEE" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450.	
Signature	<i>Amey K. Goff</i>

In response to the Office Action mailed on November 4, 2003, Applicants request the following:

TRAVERSAL OF RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT:

Applicants traverse the restriction requirement on the grounds that the inventions are not distinct as the Examiner argues, and therefore the Examiner will not be burdened in examining all the claims.

The Examiner argues that the inventions are distinct because they are separately usable. There are 2 criteria for a proper restriction requirement: 1) the inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed; and 2) there must be a serious burden on the Examiner. MPEP § 803. In this case, the inventions are not as distinct as the examiner claims, and furthermore, it is respectfully submitted that during the searching of the prior art for the limitations recited in the claims of the

provisionally elected Group I, the Examiner will necessarily search the classes that include Groups II and III, as those processes are similar to and at least partially covered by claims that depend from Claim 1 of Group I. Therefore, the Examiner will not be subjected to multiple searches and thus the current situation does not constitute an undue burden for the Examiner. In view of the above arguments, Applicants request that the restriction requirement be reconsidered and withdrawn, and all the claims be examined together on the merits.

\*\*\*\*\*

**PROVISIONAL ELECTION OF GROUP I:**

Applicants request that the Examiner conduct the examination of the above referenced application based on Group I.

\*\*\*\*\*


Applicants request for any extension of time that may be deemed necessary to further the prosecution of this application.

Applicants' representative authorizes the Commissioner to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 01-2508, referencing Order No. 12350.0010.NPUS00.

In order to facilitate the resolution of any issues or questions presented by this paper, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner directly contact the undersigned by phone to further the discussion.

In order to promote the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is authorized to contact the undersigned by electronic mail. Please address all e-mail to:  
steinheiderm@howrey.com

Respectfully submitted,



Matthew F. Steinheider

Reg. No. 47,968

Tel. 713 787 1516

Date: 11/17/03